

**I-70 PACT Public Meetings  
May 4 & 7, 2011  
Commerce City & Denver**

**Written Comments Summary**

*Note: Almost all commenters supported Current Alignment. Commenters were divided in their support of the north or south shift.*

**Support Current Alignment as more promising, because:**

- Realignment would have larger impacts on local businesses
- 270 at I70 is really bad every morning as it is
- Most affordable
- Most rational
- Impact to community will be hard regardless of where I-70 is moved

**Support doing both current and realignment** *(no explanation was given)*

**Support for North or South shift on Current Alignment:**

- Support North shift
  - o My business shall be impacted so bad during the construction period anyway
  - o (depending on how wide the road will be)
  - o Cleans up the local neighborhood better
- Supports south shift
  - o Providing residents and Purina are compensated for property investments
  - o New part of I-70 (I25 to Brighton) was built towards the south
  - o Relocation Purina out of the neighborhood
  - o Less impacts
  - o Some benefits
  - o Lean toward south shift - Removing Purina would allow more neighborhood development

**Outstanding Concerns**

- Impacts to the neighborhood from a wider highway
- Noise impacts
- Decrease in home values because of the closeness of the highway

**Interests mentioned for any solution:**

- Protect Elyria
- Fair decisions for those deeply affected
- Improve the community
- Maintain an easily accessible school location (if north shift)
- Protect the Swansea School

**Additional Suggestions/Questions:**

- More study and discussion on “no action” is necessary

- Don't allow tolling to slow traffic along the highway